

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/446,390	12/21/1999	DIETER SCHULER	10191/1234	2255		
26646 7	590 01/03/2003					
KENYON &		EXAMINER				
ONE BROAD' NEW YORK, I		LE, DANG D				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2834	2834		
		DATE MAILED: 01/03/2003	DATE MAILED: 01/03/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

:								
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)	**			
Office Action Summary		09/446,390		SCHULER ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
•		Dang D Le		2834				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period for Reply								
THE MAI - Extension after SIX - If the peri - If NO peri - Failure to - Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION s of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. od for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re od for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statureceived by the Office later than three months after the mail tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 136(a). In no event eply within the statuto d will apply and will e ute, cause the applica	, however, may a reply be tir ry minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 20	November 20	<u> 202</u> .					
	his action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is n	on-final.					
3)∐ S	ince this application is in condition for allo	wance except t	for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the	merits is			
Disposition	osed in accordance with the practice unde of Claims	er Ex parte Qua	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, ^a	453 O.G. 213.				
·=	aim(s) 6-24 is/are pending in the applicati							
4a	Of the above claim(s) <u>7-9</u> is/are withdraw	n from conside	eration.					
5)∏ CI	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ CI	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6 and 10-24</u> is/are rejected.							
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	All b) Some * c) None of:	ents have heer	received					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice	r' of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No((s)		ary (PTO-413) Paper No(al Patent Application (PT0				

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 11/20/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant's arguments are on the ground that Burr et al. do not show "the plurality channels along the collector end face which are open at each end along the collector end face" regarding the rejection of claims 15 and 20 based on Burr et al., "the examiner has failed to establish a prima facie case of obviousness" regarding the rejection of claims 6 and 10 based on Burr in view of Portail, and "there is no suggestion to combine in the prior arts" regarding the rejections of claim 6, 10, 15 and 20 based on Bruhn in view of Portail.

First of all, it is noted that during prosecution, the claims can be interpreted as broad as possible. In addition, the claims are open-ended claims. Nevertheless, the examiner agrees that Figure 2 of Burr et al. shows an intermediate stage of the brush with open recesses (2) which then are filled with relatively soft lubricating material (column 2, lines 35-37). The present application also shows the open recesses (31) in an intermediate stage which then are filled with oil lubricant. However, claims 15 and 20 do not definitely recite oil lubricant. Instead, these claims just recite lubricant. Moreover, these claims do not definitely recite that the recesses are open in a final stage.

Regarding the rejection of claims 6 and 10 based on Burr et al. in view of Portail, both references are directed to sliding contact between the brushes and the commutator

Art Unit: 2834

and they are classified in the same class and subclass. One reference uses oil lubricant while the other uses soft lubricant which is solid. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to replace the soft lubricant with oil lubricant and vice versa.

Finally, references may be combined although none of them explicitly suggests combining one with the other. In re Nilssen, 7 USPQ2d 1500 (Fed. Cir. 1989).

As a result, the rejection is still deemed proper and repeated hereafter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 15, 20, 21 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Burr et al.

Regarding claim 15, Burr et al. show an electric machine equip with a collector (1), comprising:

- An end face with a plurality of channels (Figure 2); and
- A supply of lubricant (3) in an area of the end face allocated to the collector, wherein the brush has a plurality of grooves (2) along the collector-side end face and wherein the grooves are open at each end along the collector-side end face (Figure 2).

Regarding claim 20, Burr et al. show an electric machine comprising:

Art Unit: 2834

A commutator (column 1, lines 1-5);

- At least one brush (1), wherein a collector-side end face of the at least one brush has a plurality of channels (Figure 2); and
- A supply of lubricant (3) for providing lubrication between the commutator and the at least one brush;
- Wherein the at least one brush contains the lubricant at a location which is least one of: (a) in or on a collector-side end face of the at least one brush, and (b) in or on a partial length of the at least one brush beginning at the collector-side end face of the at least one brush, wherein the brush has a plurality of grooves (2) along the collector-side end face and wherein the grooves are open at each end along the collector-side end face (Figure 2).

Regarding claims 21 and 24, it is noted that Burr et al. also show the collector having a plurality of grooves.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 6, 10, 11, 14, 16, 19, 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burr et al. in view of Portail.

Regarding claim 6, Burr et al. show an electric machine comprising:

- A commutator (column 1, lines 1-5);

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/446,390

Art Unit: 2834

At least one brush (1);

- A supply of soft lubricant (3) for providing lubrication between the commutator and the at least one brush;
- Wherein the at least one brush contains the lubricant at least one of:

 (a) in or on a collector-side end face of the at least one brush, and (b)

 in or on a partial length of the at least one brush beginning at the

 collector-side end face of the at least one brush, wherein the brush has

 a plurality of grooves (2) along the collector-side end face and wherein

 the grooves are open at each end along the collector-side end face

 (Figure 2).

Burr et al. do not use the oil lubricant.

Portail shows the lubricant being oil (11) for the purpose of reducing friction.

Since Burr et al. and Portail are all from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use oil lubricant instead of soft lubricant as taught by Portail for the purpose discussed above.

Regarding claim 10, Burr et al. shows a brush (1) for an electric machine equipped with a collector (1) comprising:

- An end surface (top of Figure 2); and
- A supply of soft lubricant (3) in an area of the end face allocated to the collector, wherein the brush has a plurality of grooves (2) along the

Art Unit: 2834

collector-side end face and wherein the grooves are open at each end along the collector-side end face (Figure 2).

Burr et al. do not use oil lubricant.

Portail uses oil lubricant (11) for the purpose of reducing friction.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use oil lubricant instead of soft lubricant as taught by Portail for the purpose discussed above.

Regarding claims 11 and 16, it is noted that Portail also shows the collector/commutator having recesses (4, 10) which function as storage reservoirs for the oil lubricant (Figure 4).

Regarding claims 14 and 19, it is noted that Burr et al. also show the collectorside end face having a plurality of channels (Figure 2).

Regarding claims 22 and 23, it is noted that Burr et al. also show the collector having a plurality of grooves.

6. Claims 12 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burr et al. in view of Portail as respectively applied to claims 10 and 6 above, and further in view of Grunewald et al.

Regarding claims 12 and 17, the machine of Burr et al. modified by Portail shows all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for the brush being made from carbon, pressed metal powder or alloys thereof.

Grunewald et al. show the brush (11) being made from carbon, pressed metal powder or alloys thereof for the purpose of increasing brush life hours.

Art Unit: 2834

Since Burr et al., Portail and Grunewald et al. are all from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to make the brush from carbon, pressed metal powder or alloys thereof as taught by Grunewald et al. for the purpose discussed above.

7. Claims 13 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burr et al. in view of Portail as respectively applied to claims 10 and 6 above, and further in view of Rogelein.

Regarding claims 13 and 18, the machine of Burr et al. modified by Portail shows all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for the brush being protected by a dust guard.

Rogelein shows the brush being protected by a dust guard (29) for the purpose of preventing contamination.

Since Burr et al., Portail and Rogelein are all from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to protect the brush by a dust guard as taught by Rogelein for the purpose discussed above.

8. Claims 6, 10, 11, 14-16 and 19-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bruhn in view of Portail.

Art Unit: 2834

Regarding claim 6, Bruhn shows an electric machine comprising:

- A commutator (4);
- At least one brush (1);
- Wherein the brush has a plurality of grooves (11, 12) along the collector-side end face and wherein the grooves are open at each end along the collector-side end face (Figure 2).

Bruhn does not a supply of oil lubricant for providing lubrication between the commutator and the at least one brush; wherein the at least one brush contains the lubricant at least one of: (a) in or on a collector-side end face of the at least one brush, and (b) in or on a partial length of the at least one brush beginning at the collector-side end face of the at least one brush.

Portail shows the supply of oil lubricant (3) for providing lubrication between the commutator and the at least one brush; wherein the at least one brush contains the lubricant at least one of: (a) in or on a collector-side end face of the at least one brush, and (b) in or on a partial length of the at least one brush beginning at the collector-side end face of the at least one brush (Figures 1-4) for the purpose of reducing friction.

Since Bruhn and Portail are all from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to provide a supply of oil lubricant for lubrication between the commutator and the at least one brush; wherein the at least one brush contains the lubricant at least one of: (a) in or on a collector-side end face of the at least one brush,

Art Unit: 2834

and (b) in or on a partial length of the at least one brush beginning at the collector-side end face of the at least one brush as taught by Portail for the purpose discussed above.

Regarding claim 10, Bruhn shows a brush (1) for an electric machine equipped with a collector (4) comprising:

- An end surface (3, Figure 2); and
- Wherein the brush has a plurality of grooves (11, 12) along the collector-side end face and wherein the grooves are open at each end along the collector-side end face (Figure 2).

Bruhn does not show a supply of oil lubricant in an area of the end face allocated to the collector.

Portail shows the supply of oil lubricant (8) in an area of the end face (11) allocated to the collector (1) for the purpose of reducing friction.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to provide a supply of oil lubricant in an area of the end face allocated to the collector as taught by Portail for the purpose discussed above.

Regarding claim 15, Bruhn shows a brush (1) for an electric machine equip with a collector (4), comprising:

- An end face (3) of the brush (Figure 2) with the plurality of channels (11, 12); and
- Wherein the brush has a plurality of channels (11, 12) along the collector-side end face and wherein the grooves are open at each end along the collectorside end face (Figure 2).

Art Unit: 2834

Bruhn does not show the supply of lubricant in an area of the end face allocated to the collector.

Portail shows the supply of lubricant (3) in an area (11) of the end face allocated to the collector for the purpose of reducing friction.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to provide the supply of lubricant in an area of the end face allocated to the collector as taught by Portail for the purpose discussed above.

Regarding claim 20, Bruhn shows an electric machine comprising:

- A commutator (4);
- At least one brush (1), wherein a collector-side end face of the at least one brush has a plurality of channels (11, 12, Figure 2); and
- Wherein the channels are open at each end along the collector-side end face (Figure 2).

Bruhn does not show a supply of lubricant for providing lubrication between the commutator and the at least one brush; wherein the at least one brush contains the lubricant at a location which is least one of: (a) in or on a collector-side end face of the at least one brush, and (b) in or on a partial length of the at least one brush beginning at the collector-side end face of the at least one brush.

Portail shows a supply of lubricant (Figures 1-4) for providing lubrication between the commutator and the at least one brush; wherein the at least one brush contains the lubricant at a location which is least one of: (a) in or on a collector-side end face of the

Art Unit: 2834

at least one brush, and (b) in or on a partial length of the at least one brush beginning at the collector-side end face of the at least one brush for the purpose of reducing friction.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to provide a supply of lubricant for lubrication between the commutator and the at least one brush; wherein the at least one brush contains the lubricant at a location which is least one of: (a) in or on a collector-side end face of the at least one brush, and (b) in or on a partial length of the at least one brush beginning at the collector-side end face of the at least one brush taught by Portail for the purpose discussed above.

Regarding claims 11 and 16, it is noted that Portail also shows the collector/commutator having recesses (4, 10) which function as storage reservoirs for the oil lubricant (Figure 4).

Regarding claims 14 and 19, it is noted that Bruhn also shows the collector-side end face having a plurality of channels (Figure 2).

Regarding claims 21-24, it is noted that Bruhn also shows the collector having a plurality of grooves (11, 12).

9. Claims 12 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bruhn in view of Portail as respectively applied to claims 10 and 6 above, and further in view of Grunewald et al.

Regarding claims 12 and 17, the machine of Bruhn modified by Portail shows all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for the brush being made from carbon, pressed metal powder or alloys thereof.

Art Unit: 2834

Grunewald et al. show the brush (11) being made from carbon, pressed metal powder or alloys thereof for the purpose of increasing brush life hours.

Since Bruhn, Portail and Grunewald et al. are all from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to make the brush from carbon, pressed metal powder or alloys thereof as taught by Grunewald et al. for the purpose discussed above.

10. Claims 13 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bruhn in view of Portail as respectively applied to claims 10 and 6 above, and further in view of Rogelein.

Regarding claims 13 and 18, the machine of Bruhn modified by Portail shows all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for the brush being protected by a dust guard.

Rogelein shows the brush being protected by a dust guard (29) for the purpose of preventing contamination.

Since Bruhn, Portail and Rogelein are all from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to protect the brush by a dust guard as taught by Rogelein for the purpose discussed above.

Art Unit: 2834

Conclusion

11. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Information on How to Contact USPTO

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dang D Le whose telephone number is (703) 305-0156. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on (703) 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2834

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

Sony L. C

DDL

December 31, 2002

1